

Positivity of the Second Shifted Difference of Partitions and Overpartitions: a Combinatorial Approach

Koustav Banerjee

[†]Research Institute for Symbolic Computation, Johannes Kepler University
 Email: Koustav.Banerjee@risc.uni-linz.ac.at

Received: October 6, 2022, **Accepted:** February 7, 2023, **Published:** February 17, 2023
 The authors: Released under the CC BY-ND license (International 4.0)

ABSTRACT: This note is devoted to the study of inequalities related to the second shifted difference of the number of integer partitions $p(n)$ and of overpartitions $\bar{p}(n)$ by an elementary combinatorial approach. Recently Gomez, Males, and Rolén proved the positivity of $\Delta_j^2(p(n)) = p(n) - 2p(n-j) + p(n-2j)$ by employing the Hardy-Ramanujan-Rademacher formula for $p(n)$ and Lehmer's error bound. Our goal is to prove $\Delta_j^2(p(n)) \geq 0$ (resp. $\Delta_j^2(\bar{p}(n)) > 0$) by an explicit description of a non-empty subset, say $X_p^2(n, j)$ of the set of integer partitions $P(n)$ (resp. $X_{\bar{p}}^2(n, j)$) and the set of overpartitions $\bar{P}(n)$ with $|X_p^2(n, j)| = \Delta_j^2(p(n))$ (resp. $|X_{\bar{p}}^2(n, j)| = \Delta_j^2(\bar{p}(n))$).

Keywords: Partitions; Overpartitions; Convexity
2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05A17; 05A20

1. Introduction

A partition of a positive integer n is a finite nonincreasing sequence of positive integers $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^\ell \lambda_i = n$, denoted by $\lambda \vdash n$. The set of partitions of n is denoted by $P(n)$ and $|P(n)| = p(n)$. For $\lambda \vdash n$, we define $\ell(\lambda)$ to be the total number of parts of λ and $\text{mult}_\lambda(\lambda_i)$ to be the multiplicity of the part λ_i in λ . For $\lambda \vdash n$ with $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$ and $\mu \vdash m$ with $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{\ell'})$, define the union $\lambda \cup \mu \vdash m + n$ to be the partition with parts $\{\lambda_i, \mu_j\}$ arranged in nonincreasing order.

Inequalities for the partition function have been studied in many directions and proofs of such inequalities were by employing analytic tools such as the Hardy-Ramanujan-Rademacher formula for $p(n)$, see [6, 11–13], and Lehmer's error bound [7, 8]. Let Δ be the backward difference operator defined on a sequence $a(n)$ by $\Delta(a(n)) := a(n) - a(n-1)$ and, for $r \geq 1$, $\Delta^r(a(n)) := \Delta(\Delta^{r-1}(a(n)))$. In 1977, Good [4] conjectured that $\Delta^r(p(n))$ alternates in sign up to a certain value $n = n(r)$, and then it stays positive. Using the Hardy-Ramanujan-Rademacher series for $p(n)$, Gupta [5] proved that for any given $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $\Delta^r(p(n)) > 0$ for sufficiently large n . In 1988, Odlyzko [10] proved the conjecture of Good and obtained the following asymptotic formula for $n(r)$:

$$n(r) \sim \frac{6}{\pi^2} r^2 \log^2 r \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

For a more detailed study on $\Delta(p(n))$, we refer to [1]. Recently, Gomez, Males, and Rolén studied the second-order j -shifted difference of $p(n)$, defined by

$$\Delta_j^2(p(n)) = p(n) - 2p(n-j) + p(n-2j)$$

and proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 1.2, [3]). *Let $n \geq 2$ and $j \leq \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{n - \frac{1}{24}}$. Then we have that*

$$\Delta_j^2(p(n)) \geq 0.$$

In other words, $p(n)$ satisfies the extended convexity result $p(n) + p(n-2j) \geq 2p(n-j)$.

An overpartition of n is a nonincreasing sequence of natural numbers whose sum is n in which the first occurrence of a number may be overlined. We denote the number of overpartitions of n by $\bar{p}(n)$ and the set of overpartitions of n by $\bar{P}(n)$. For example, the 4 overpartitions of 2 are $2, \bar{2}, 1 + 1, \bar{1} + 1$. The study

on overpartitions dates back to MacMahon [9] but under different nomenclature, an extensive study on the overpartitions began with the work of Corteel and Lovejoy [2]. A Hardy-Ramanujan-Rademacher type series expansion for $\bar{p}(n)$ was due to Zuckerman [16]. Recently, Wang, Xie, and Zhang [15] proved that $\Delta^r(\bar{p}(n)) > 0$ for $n \geq n(r)$, where $n(r)$ is a positive integer depending on r .

The main motivation of this paper is to prove Theorem 1.1 using a combinatorial approach rather than the analytic one; i.e., by studying an asymptotic estimate of $\frac{p(n-j)}{p(n)}$ as in [3, Theorem 1.1]. Moreover, we will show $\Delta_j^2(p(n)) \geq 0$ for all $n \geq 2j$, a weaker assumption in comparison to $n \geq \max\{2, 16j^2 + \frac{1}{24}\}$ assumed in Theorem 1.1. Moreover, we show $\Delta_j^2(\bar{p}(n)) > 0$ with a similar combinatorial approach as that for $p(n)$. Gomez, Males, and Rolin [3] proved the positivity of $\Delta_j^2(p(n))$ using the asymptotic estimate of the quotient $p(n-j)/p(n)$ whereas our main objective is to show that $(\Delta_j^2(p(n)))_{n \geq 2j}$ (resp. $(\Delta_j^2(\bar{p}(n)))_{n \geq 2j}$) can be enumerated by a non-empty proper subset of $P(n)$ (resp. of $\bar{P}(n)$) so as to prove positivity of the respective sequences.

We organize the paper in the following way. Below we list all the theorems, Theorem 1.2-1.5, with two corollaries Corollary 1.1 and 1.2. The proofs of Theorem 1.2-1.5 are given in Section 2.

Definition 1.1. For all positive integers n and j , define

$$X_a^1(n, j) = A(n) \setminus A(n-j) \quad \text{and} \quad |X_a^1(n, j)| = \Delta_j^1(a(n)),$$

$$X_a^2(n, j) = X_a^1(n) \setminus X_a^1(n-j) \quad \text{and} \quad |X_a^2(n, j)| = \Delta_j^2(a(n)),$$

where $|A(n)| := a(n)$.

In our context, $A(n)$ is $P(n)$, resp. $\bar{P}(n)$; consequently, we will consider $X_a^i(n, j) = X_p^i(n, j)$, resp. $X_{\bar{p}}^i(n, j) = X_{\bar{p}}^i(n, j)$.

Theorem 1.2. For all positive integers n and j with $n \geq j$,

$$X_p^1(n, j) = \left\{ \lambda \in P(n) : 0 \leq \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \leq j - 1 \right\}. \tag{1}$$

Remark 1.1. Plugging in $j = 1$ into Theorem 1.2, $X_p^1(n, j)$ is described as the set of non-unitary partitions of n as well as the set of partitions of $n - 1$ in which the least part occurs exactly once [14, A002865]. For any $j \geq 1$, the set $X_p^1(n, j)$ is also known to be the set of non- j -ary partitions of n , see [3, p. 69]. A detailed analytic discussion on Theorem 1.2 has been documented in [3, Theorem 1.1].

Theorem 1.3. For all positive integers n and j with $n \geq 2j$,

$$X_p^2(n, j) = \left\{ \lambda \in X_p^1(n, j) : 0 \leq \text{mult}_\lambda(1) \leq j - 1 \right\}. \tag{2}$$

Remark 1.2. Plugging in $j = 1$ into Theorem 1.3, $X_p^2(n, j)$ is described as the set of partitions of $n - 2$ with all parts > 1 and with the largest part occurring more than once [14, A053445].

Corollary 1.1. For all positive integers n and j with $n \geq 2j$,

$$\Delta_j^2(p(n)) \geq 0. \tag{3}$$

Proof. For $j = 1$ and $n \in \{3, 5, 7\}$, $X_p^2(n, 1) = \emptyset$ and so $\Delta_1^2(p(n)) = 0$ and for $n = 2$, $\Delta_1^2(p(n)) = 1$. Next, if $n = 2k$ with $k \geq 2$, then $\lambda = (k, k) \in X_p^2(2k, 1)$, and if $n = 2k + 1$ with $k \geq 4$,

$$\lambda = \left(\left[\frac{2k+1}{3} \right], \left[\frac{2k+1}{3} \right], (2k+1) - 2 \left[\frac{2k+1}{3} \right] \right) \in X_p^2(2k+1, 1),$$

as $(2k+1) - 2 \left[\frac{2k+1}{3} \right] > 1$ for all $k \geq 4$. So, $\Delta_1^2(p(n)) \geq 0$ for all $n \geq 2$.

Finally, for $j \geq 2$ and $n = 2m \geq 2j$, observe that $\lambda = (m, m) \in X_p^2(n, j)$ and for $n = 2m + 1 > 2j$, $\lambda = (m+1, m) \in X_p^2(n, j)$. Therefore, $\Delta_1^2(p(n)) > 0$ for all $n \geq 2j$ with $j \geq 2$. \square

Remark 1.3. A combinatorial proof of Corollary 1.1 is also provided in [3, p. 77]. But our proof of $\Delta_j^2(p(n)) \geq 0$ is based on studying the elements of residual set $X_p^2(n, j)$.

Theorem 1.4. For all positive integers n and j with $n \geq j$,

$$\begin{aligned} X_{\bar{p}}^1(n, j) = & \left\{ \lambda \in \bar{P}(n) : 0 \leq \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \leq j - 1 \text{ and } \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \text{ may be overlined} \right\} \\ & \cup \left\{ \lambda \in \bar{P}(n) : \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = j \text{ and } \lambda_2 \text{ is overlined} \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Theorem 1.5. For all positive integers n and j with $n \geq 2j$,

$$X_{\bar{p}}^2(n, j) = \left\{ \lambda \in X_p^1(n, j) : 0 \leq \text{mult}_\lambda(1) \leq j - 1 \text{ and } 0 \leq \text{mult}_\lambda(\bar{1}) \leq 1 \right\}. \quad (5)$$

Corollary 1.2. For all positive integers n and j with $n \geq 2j$,

$$\Delta_j^2(\bar{p}(n)) > 0. \quad (6)$$

Proof. For $j = 1$ and $n = 2$, $\Delta_j^2(\bar{p}(n)) = 1$. For $j \geq 1$, $n = 2k \geq 2j$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$, $\lambda = (\bar{k}, k) \in X_{\bar{p}}^2(n, j)$ and when $n = 2k + 1 > 2j$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $\lambda = (\bar{k} + \bar{1}, \bar{k}) \in X_{\bar{p}}^2(n, j)$. This concludes the proof. \square

2. Proofs of Theorem 1.2-1.5

Proof of Theorem 1.2: For all positive integers n, j with $n \geq j$, we define an injective map $i_1 : P(n - j) \rightarrow P(n)$ by

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r) \mapsto i_1(\lambda) = (\lambda_1 + j, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r). \quad (7)$$

It is immediate that $i_1(\lambda) \in P(n)$, and the image set can be described as

$$\text{Im}(i_1) = \left\{ \pi \in P(n) : \pi_1 - \pi_2 \geq j \right\}.$$

Note that i_1 is an injective map: for any two partitions, say, for $\lambda, \mu \in P(n - j)$, there are two possible cases, either $\ell(\lambda) = \ell(\mu)$ or $\ell(\lambda) \neq \ell(\mu)$. When $\ell(\lambda) \neq \ell(\mu)$, $\ell(i_1(\lambda)) \neq \ell(i_1(\mu))$ and therefore i_1 is injective. If $\ell(\lambda) = \ell(\mu)$, then $i_1(\lambda) = i_1(\mu)$ immediately implies that $\lambda_m = \mu_m$ for all $1 \leq m \leq \ell(\lambda)$. Hence,

$$P(n) \setminus i_1(P(n - j)) = \left\{ \pi \in P(n) : 0 \leq \pi_1 - \pi_2 \leq j \right\} = X_p^1(n, j).$$

\square

Proof of Theorem 1.3: For all positive integers n, j with $n \geq 2j$, we first define an injective map $i_2 : X_p^1(n - j, j) \rightarrow X_p^1(n, j)$ by

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r) \mapsto i_2(\lambda) = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r) \cup \underbrace{(1, 1, \dots, 1)}_{j \text{ times}}. \quad (8)$$

Now $i_2(\lambda) \in X_p^1(n, j)$ and consequently,

$$\text{Im}(i_2) = \left\{ \pi \in X_p^1(n, j) : \text{mult}_\pi(1) \geq j \right\}.$$

Clearly, i_2 is an injective map, since we adjoin the partition of j with all parts being 1 to any partition $\lambda \in X_p^1(n - j, j)$. Therefore,

$$X_p^1(n, j) \setminus i_2(X_p^1(n - j, j)) = \left\{ \pi \in X_p^1(n, j) : 0 \leq \text{mult}_\pi(1) \leq j - 1 \right\} = X_p^2(n, j).$$

\square

Proof of Theorem 1.4: For all positive integers n, j with $n \geq j$, we define an injective map $\bar{i}_1 : \bar{P}(n - j) \rightarrow \bar{P}(n)$ by

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r) \mapsto \bar{i}_1(\lambda) = (\lambda_1 + j, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r) \in \bar{P}(n). \quad (9)$$

Here we consider two separate cases depending on whether $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ or $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$.

For $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$, we observe that only the first occurrence of λ_1 can be overlined and the image of \bar{i}_1 is given by

$$\text{Im}(\bar{i}_1) = \left\{ \pi \in \bar{P}(n) : \pi_1 - \pi_2 = j \text{ and } \pi_2 \text{ is not overlined} \right\}.$$

For the other case $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$,

$$\text{Im}(\bar{i}_1) = \left\{ \pi \in \bar{P}(n) : \pi_1 - \pi_2 \geq j \text{ and } \pi_1, \pi_2 \text{ may be overlined} \right\}.$$

Clearly, \bar{i}_1 is an injective map in each of the cases. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{P}(n) \setminus \bar{i}_1(\bar{P}(n - j)) &= \left\{ \pi \in \bar{P}(n) : 0 \leq \pi_1 - \pi_2 \leq j - 1 \text{ and } \pi_1, \pi_2 \text{ may be overlined} \right\} \\ &\cup \left\{ \pi \in \bar{P}(n) : \pi_1 - \pi_2 = j \text{ and } \pi_2 \text{ is overlined} \right\} \\ &= \bar{X}_{\bar{p}}^1(n, j). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.5: For all positive integers n, j with $n \geq 2j$, we define an injective map $\bar{i}_2 : \bar{X}_p^1(n - j, j) \rightarrow \bar{X}_p^1(n, j)$ by

$$\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r) \mapsto \bar{i}_2(\lambda) = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r) \cup \underbrace{(1, 1, \dots, 1)}_{j \text{ times}} \in \bar{X}_p^1(n, j). \quad (10)$$

Consequently,

$$\text{Im}(\bar{i}_2) = \left\{ \pi \in X_p^1(n, j) : \text{mult}_\pi(1) \geq j \right\}.$$

Note that i_2 is an injective map as we adjoin the overpartition of j with all parts being 1 to any overpartition $\lambda \in \bar{X}_p^1(n - j, j)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{X}_p^1(n, j) \setminus \bar{i}_2(\bar{X}_p^1(n - j, j)) &= \left\{ \pi \in X_p^1(n, j) : 0 \leq \text{mult}_\pi(1) \leq j - 1 \text{ and } 0 \leq \text{mult}_\pi(\bar{1}) \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \bar{X}_p^2(n, j), \end{aligned}$$

since if $\bar{1}$ is a part of an overpartition, say $\pi \in \bar{P}(n)$, then according to the definition $0 \leq \text{mult}_\pi(\bar{1}) \leq 1$. □

Acknowledgements

The author sincerely thanks the referee for his/her helpful suggestions and comments. The author would like to thank Prof. Peter Paule for his invaluable suggestions to improve the article. The author would like to acknowledge that the research was funded by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF): W1214-N15, project DK6.

References

- [1] A. Akande et al., *Computational study of non-unitary partitions*, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. (to appear), 2022. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.03264>.
- [2] S. Corteel and J. Lovejoy, *Overpartitions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 356 (2004), 1623–1635.
- [3] K. Gomez, J. Males, and L. Rolén, *The second shifted difference of partitions and its applications*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 107 (2023), 66–78.
- [4] I. J. Good, *The differences of the partition function*, Problem 6137, Amer. Math. Monthly 84 (1977), 141.
- [5] H. Gupta, *Finite Differences of the partition function*, Math. Comp. 32 (1978), 1241–1243.
- [6] G. H. Hardy and S. Ramanujan, *Asymptotic formulae in combinatory analysis*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.(3) 2 (1918), 75–115.
- [7] D. H. Lehmer, *On the series for the partition function*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 43 (1938), 271–295.
- [8] D. H. Lehmer, *On the remainders and convergence of the series for the partition function*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 46 (1939), 362–373.
- [9] P. A. MacMahon, *Combinatory Analysis, Volumes I and II*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1915-1916.
- [10] A. M. Odlyzko, *Differences of the partition function*, Acta Arith. 49 (1988), 237–254.
- [11] H. Rademacher, *A convergent for the partition function $p(n)$* , Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 23 (1937), 78.
- [12] H. Rademacher, *On the partition function $p(n)$* , Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.(3) 2 (1938), 241–254.
- [13] H. Rademacher, *On the expansion of the partition function in a series*, Ann. of Math.(2) 44 (1943), 416–422.
- [14] N. J. A. Sloane et al., *The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences*, 2019. Available at <https://oeis.org>.
- [15] L. X. W. Wang, G. Y. B. Xie, and A. Q. Zhang, *Finite difference of the overpartition function*, Adv. in Appl. Math. 92 (2018), 51–72.
- [16] H. S. Zuckerman, *On the coefficients of certain modular forms belonging to subgroups of the modular group*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 45 (1939), 298–321.