

Fixed Points and Cycles of Parking Functions

Martin Rubey[†] and Mei Yin[‡]

[†]*Fakultät für Mathematik und Geoinformation, TU Wien, Vienna, Austria*
Email: martin.rubey@tuwien.ac.at

[‡]*Department of Mathematics, University of Denver, Denver, CO, USA*
Email: mei.yin@du.edu

Received: October 3, 2024, **Accepted:** December 23, 2024, **Published:** January 3, 2025
 The authors: Released under the CC BY-ND license (International 4.0)

ABSTRACT: A *parking function* of length n is a sequence $\pi = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_n)$ of positive integers such that if $\lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$ is the increasing rearrangement of π_1, \dots, π_n , then $\lambda_i \leq i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. The index i is a fixed point of the parking function π if $\pi_i = i$. More generally, for $m \geq 1$, the indices (i_1, \dots, i_m) where the i_j 's are all distinct constitute an m -cycle of the parking function π if $\pi_{i_1} = i_2, \pi_{i_2} = i_3, \dots, \pi_{i_{m-1}} = i_m, \pi_{i_m} = i_1$. In this paper, we obtain some exact results on the number of fixed points and cycles of parking functions. Our derivations are based on generalizations of Pollak's argument and the symmetry of parking coordinates. Extensions of our techniques are discussed.

Keywords: Fixed points and cycles; Parking functions; Pollak's circle argument

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05A15; 05A19; 60C05

1. Introduction

Parking functions were introduced by Konheim and Weiss [9] in the study of the linear probes of random hashing functions. In the classical parking function scenario, we have n parking spaces on a one-way street, labelled $1, 2, \dots, n$ in consecutive order as we drive down the street. There are n cars C_1, \dots, C_n . Each car C_i has a preferred space $1 \leq \pi_i \leq n$. The cars drive down the street one at a time in order C_1, \dots, C_n . The car C_i drives immediately to space π_i and then parks in the first available space. Thus if π_i is empty, then C_i parks there; otherwise C_i next goes to space $\pi_i + 1, \pi_i + 2$, etc., until it finds an available space to park in (if no such space exists, then C_i leaves the street unparked). If all cars are able to park, then the sequence $\pi = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_n)$ is called a *parking function* of length n . It is well-known and easy to see that if $\lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$ is the (weakly) increasing rearrangement of π_1, \dots, π_n , then π is a parking function if and only if $\lambda_i \leq i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Equivalently, π is a parking function if and only if

$$\#\{k : \pi_k \leq i\} \geq i, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n.$$

This implies that parking functions are invariant under the action of the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n permuting the n cars, that is, permuting the list of preferences π . Write PF_n for the set of parking functions of length n .

The first significant result on parking functions, due to Pyke [11] in another context and then to Konheim and Weiss [9], is that the number of parking functions of length n is equal to $(n+1)^{n-1}$. A famous combinatorial proof was given by Pollak (unpublished but recounted in [7] and [12]). It boils down to the following easily verified statement: Let G denote the group of all n -tuples $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in [n+1]^n$ with componentwise addition modulo $n+1$ (where $[n]$ is the standard shorthand for $\{1, \dots, n\}$). Let H be the subgroup generated by $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$. Then every coset of H contains exactly one parking function.

Nowadays the study of parking functions has found many applications in combinatorics, probability, computer science, and beyond. Some recent work may be found in [1, 3, 6, 8, 18]. We refer to Yan [17] for a comprehensive survey and to Carlson et al. [2] for the many generalizations of the classical parking function scenario. In this paper, we explore the cycle structure of parking functions. Unlike the cycle structure of permutations which has been well studied, not much is known about the cycle structure of parking functions, especially in terms of exact enumerative results. A traditional direction is to standardize a parking function to a permutation and then take the usual cycle structure. This was discussed in detail in Stanley [15] supplementary

problems for Chapter 7, utilizing the powerful parking function symmetric function machinery. Our investigation makes an effort in another direction. See Diaconis and Hicks [4] for some open problems and Paguyo [10] for some partial answers in this direction. For a parking function $\pi = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_n)$, we say i is a fixed point of π if $\pi_i = i$. More generally, for $m \geq 1$, we say (i_1, \dots, i_m) where the i_j 's are all distinct is an m -cycle of π if $\pi_{i_1} = i_2, \pi_{i_2} = i_3, \dots, \pi_{i_{m-1}} = i_m, \pi_{i_m} = i_1$. Fixed points are simply 1-cycles and cyclic points are points that lie on some m -cycle of π where $m \geq 1$.

We are interested in deriving some exact formulas for the number of fixed points and cycles of parking functions. Our counting formulas are based on generalizations of Pollak's argument and the symmetry of parking coordinates. In Section 2 we study classical parking functions, in Section 3 we study prime parking functions, and in Section 4 we discuss extensions of our techniques to (r, k) -parking functions (see Section 4.1) and present connections of our current investigation to other research areas through OEIS entries (see Section 4.2). While some OEIS entries are easy to explain, many entries remain mysterious, waiting to be explored deeper in the future.

Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 come as a particular surprise:

Theorem 2.1. *Let $0 \leq k \leq n$. The number of parking functions of length n with k fixed points is given by*

$$\frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \binom{n+1}{k} (n^{n-k+1} - (-1)^{n-k+1}).$$

Theorem 2.2. *The generating function for parking functions of length n with respect to the number of fixed points is given by*

$$\frac{1}{(n+1)^2} ((q+n)^{n+1} - (q-1)^{n+1}).$$

In contrast, there is not such a nice and clean formula for permutations, which despite their simpler structure are characterized by the intricate generating function

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{F(k, n) z^n q^k}{n!} = \frac{\exp((q-1)z)}{1-z},$$

where $F(k, n)$ is the number of permutations of $[n]$ with k fixed points.

Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 are the corresponding prime versions for parking functions:

Theorem 3.1. *Let $0 \leq k \leq n-1$. The number of prime parking functions of length n with k fixed points is given by*

$$\binom{n-1}{k} (n-2)^{n-k-1}.$$

Theorem 3.2. *The generating function for prime parking functions of length n with respect to the number of fixed points is given by $(q+n-2)^{n-1}$.*

Extending the notion of fixed points to cycles, we obtain more general results for cycles in classical parking functions in Theorem 2.3 and prime parking functions in Theorem 3.3. Our exact formulas for fixed points and cycles furthermore provide insight into the asymptotic features of the cycle structure of random parking functions, particularly in connection with random functions and random permutations whose cycle structures have already been quite well understood.

- A random parking function is a random function in $\mathcal{F}_n = \{f : [n] \rightarrow [n]\}$ conditioned on being in PF_n .
- At the other end of the spectrum, we have random permutations, which are special cases of random parking functions where all cars have different preferred spaces.

As stated by Diaconis and Hicks [4], in analogy with *equivalence of ensembles* in statistical mechanics, it is natural to expect that for some features, the distribution of the features in the “micro-canonical ensemble” (PF_n) should be close to the features in the “canonical ensemble” (\mathcal{F}_n). We will show in Remark 2.1 that the expected number of m -cycles in a random parking function is asymptotically $1/m$ for any m . This coincides with the corresponding asymptotic result for random functions as well as random permutations. Nevertheless, error terms from $1/m$ are not the same or uniform for the three different random objects.

- For random permutations in the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n , the asymptotic formula $1/m$ for the expected number of m -cycles is also exact. Based on this fact, Shepp and Lloyd [13] employed a generating function approach to show that the total number of cycles in a uniformly random permutation from \mathfrak{S}_n is asymptotically normal with mean and variance $\log n$.

- For random functions in \mathcal{F}_n , the exact formula for the expected number of m -cycles is $(n)_m / (mn^m)$, where $(n)_m$ is a falling factorial. Building upon this, Flajolet and Odlyzko [5] applied generating function techniques to show that the total number of cycles in a uniformly random function from \mathcal{F}_n is asymptotically normal with mean and variance $\frac{1}{2} \log n$. Note that asymptotically, random functions have about half as many total cycles as random permutations.
- Now comes our contribution. In Theorem 2.4, we present the exact formula for the expected number of m -cycles in random parking functions in PF_n , and it should be tractable to use this result to check against the equivalence of ensembles heuristic. Theorem 3.4 provides the corresponding prime version.

2. Classical parking functions

Our first result identifies the number of parking functions with a prescribed number of fixed points.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $0 \leq k \leq n$. The number of parking functions of length n with k fixed points is given by*

$$\frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \binom{n+1}{k} (n^{n-k+1} - (-1)^{n-k+1}).$$

Proof. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $A_i = |\{\pi \in \text{PF}_n : \pi_i = i\}|$. Recall that the number of parking functions of length n is $|\text{PF}_n| = (n+1)^{n-1}$. For temporarily fixed $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n$, by De Morgan's law and the inclusion-exclusion principle,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \bigcap_{i \neq i_j \forall 1 \leq j \leq k} A_i^c \right| &= \left| \text{PF}_n - \bigcup_{i \neq i_j \forall 1 \leq j \leq k} A_i \right| = |\text{PF}_n| - \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-k} (-1)^{\ell+1} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq s_1 < \dots < s_\ell \leq n \\ s_t \neq i_j \forall 1 \leq t \leq \ell \forall 1 \leq j \leq k}} \left| \bigcap_{t=1}^{\ell} A_{s_t} \right| \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-k} (-1)^\ell \sum_{\substack{1 \leq s_1 < \dots < s_\ell \leq n \\ s_t \neq i_j \forall 1 \leq t \leq \ell \forall 1 \leq j \leq k}} \left| \bigcap_{t=1}^{\ell} A_{s_t} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

The number of parking functions of length n with k fixed points is thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \left| \left(\bigcap_{j=1}^k A_{i_j} \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i \neq i_j \forall 1 \leq j \leq k} A_i^c \right) \right| \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-k} (-1)^\ell \sum_{\substack{1 \leq s_1 < \dots < s_\ell \leq n \\ s_t \neq i_j \forall 1 \leq t \leq \ell \forall 1 \leq j \leq k}} \left| \left(\bigcap_{j=1}^k A_{i_j} \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{t=1}^{\ell} A_{s_t} \right) \right| \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-k} (-1)^\ell \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq s_1 < \dots < s_\ell \leq n \\ s_t \neq i_j \forall 1 \leq t \leq \ell \forall 1 \leq j \leq k}} \left| \left(\bigcap_{j=1}^k A_{i_j} \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{t=1}^{\ell} A_{s_t} \right) \right| \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-k} (-1)^\ell \left| \left\{ \pi \in \text{PF}_n : \begin{array}{l} \pi_1 < \dots < \pi_k, \pi_{k+1} < \dots < \pi_{k+\ell} \\ \pi_1, \dots, \pi_{k+\ell} \text{ are pairwise distinct} \end{array} \right\} \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows by symmetry of parking coordinates since any permutation of a parking function is a parking function.

For ease of notation, let

$$A_{k+\ell} = \left\{ \pi \in \text{PF}_n : \begin{array}{l} \pi_1 < \dots < \pi_k, \pi_{k+1} < \dots < \pi_{k+\ell} \\ \pi_1, \dots, \pi_{k+\ell} \text{ are pairwise distinct} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Further, let

$$\begin{aligned} A'_{k+\ell} &= \{ \pi \in \text{PF}_n : \pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_{k+\ell} \text{ are pairwise distinct} \}, \\ B'_{k+\ell} &= \{ f : [n] \rightarrow [n+1] : f(1), f(2), \dots, f(k+\ell) \text{ are pairwise distinct} \}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $|A'_{k+\ell}| = k! \ell! |A_{k+\ell}|$. To obtain a simplified expression for $A_{k+\ell}$, we use an extension of Pollak's circle argument. Add an additional parking spot $n+1$, and arrange the spots in a circle. Allow $n+1$ also as a

preferred spot. We first select $k + \ell$ spots for the first $k + \ell$ cars, which can be done in $\binom{n+1}{k+\ell}$ ways. Then for the remaining $n - k - \ell$ cars, there are $(n + 1)^{n-k-\ell}$ possible preference sequences. Hence

$$|B'_{k+\ell}| = (k + \ell)! \binom{n+1}{k+\ell} (n+1)^{n-k-\ell}.$$

Out of the $n + 1$ rotations for any preference sequence, only one rotation becomes a valid parking function. Standard circular symmetry argument yields

$$|A'_{k+\ell}| = \frac{1}{n+1} |B'_{k+\ell}| = (k + \ell)! \binom{n+1}{k+\ell} (n+1)^{n-k-\ell-1},$$

and so

$$|A_{k+\ell}| = \binom{n+1}{k, \ell, n-k-\ell+1} (n+1)^{n-k-\ell-1}.$$

So what we need to compute is

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-k} (-1)^\ell \binom{n+1}{k, \ell, n-k-\ell+1} (n+1)^{n-k-\ell-1} \\ &= \binom{n+1}{k} \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-k} (-1)^\ell \binom{n-k+1}{\ell} (n+1)^{n-k-\ell-1} \\ &= \binom{n+1}{k} \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^{n-k+1} (-1)^\ell \binom{n-k+1}{\ell} (n+1)^{n-k+1-\ell} - (-1)^{n-k+1} \right) \\ &= \binom{n+1}{k} \frac{((n+1) - 1)^{n-k+1} - (-1)^{n-k+1}}{(n+1)^2}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.2. *The generating function for parking functions of length n with respect to the number of fixed points is given by*

$$\frac{1}{(n+1)^2} ((q+n)^{n+1} - (q-1)^{n+1}).$$

Proof. Utilizing Theorem 2.1, we perform generating function calculations.

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^n q^k \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \binom{n+1}{k} (n^{n-k+1} - (-1)^{n-k+1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{k} q^k n^{n-k+1} - \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{k} q^k (-1)^{n-k+1} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} ((q+n)^{n+1} - (q-1)^{n+1}). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Extending the notion of fixed points to cycles, we have a more general result.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $m \geq 1$ and $k \geq 0$ with $km \leq n$. The number of parking functions of length n with k m -cycles is given by*

$$\sum_{\ell: (k+\ell)m \leq n} (-1)^\ell \frac{((m-1)!)^{k+\ell}}{k!\ell!} \binom{n+1}{\underbrace{m, \dots, m}_{k+\ell \text{ } m\text{'s}}, n-(k+\ell)m+1} (n+1)^{n-(k+\ell)m-1}.$$

Proof. The proof follows similarly as in Theorem 2.1. We will not include all the technical details but instead walk through the key ideas.

For distinct $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_m \leq n$, let

$$A_{(i_1, \dots, i_m)} = |\{\pi \in \text{PF}_n : \pi_{i_1} = i_2, \pi_{i_2} = i_3, \dots, \pi_{i_{m-1}} = i_m, \pi_{i_m} = i_1\}|.$$

We still apply De Morgan's law and the inclusion-exclusion principle. In analogy with Theorem 2.1, we need to compute

$$\sum_{\ell: (k+\ell)m \leq n} (-1)^\ell ((m-1)!)^{k+\ell} \sum_{(i_1^j, \dots, i_m^j)_{1 \leq j \leq k}} \sum_{(s_1^t, \dots, s_m^t)_{1 \leq t \leq \ell}} \left| \left(\bigcap_{j=1}^k A_{(i_1^j, \dots, i_m^j)} \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{t=1}^{\ell} A_{(s_1^t, \dots, s_m^t)} \right) \right|,$$

where the middle sum is over all possible k m -cycles and the last sum is over all possible ℓ m -cycles, and all the $k + \ell$ m -cycles are pairwise non-overlapping. To avoid overcounting, we arrange the m -cycles (i_1^j, \dots, i_m^j) so that for each $1 \leq j \leq k$, $i_1^j < \dots < i_m^j$ and further $i_1^1 < \dots < i_1^k$, and similarly for each $1 \leq t \leq \ell$, $s_1^t < \dots < s_m^t$ and further $s_1^1 < \dots < s_1^\ell$. This hence introduces an additional scalar factor $(m - 1)!$ for each such m -cycle, which accounts for the number of ways of arranging an m -cycle with distinct entries.

Next, we use symmetry of parking coordinates and apply an extension of Pollak's circle argument. The major difference from Theorem 2.1 is that instead of selecting $k + \ell$ spots on a circle with $n + 1$ spots, we select $k + \ell$ m -cycles on the circle. Again in analogy with Theorem 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{(i_1^j, \dots, i_m^j): 1 \leq j \leq k} \sum_{(s_1^t, \dots, s_m^t): 1 \leq t \leq \ell} \left| \left(\bigcap_{j=1}^k A_{(i_1^j, \dots, i_m^j)} \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{t=1}^\ell A_{(s_1^t, \dots, s_m^t)} \right) \right| \\ &= \left| \left\{ \pi \in \text{PF}_n : \begin{array}{l} \pi_1^1 < \dots < \pi_1^k, \pi_1^{k+1} < \dots < \pi_1^{k+\ell} \\ (\pi_1^1, \dots, \pi_m^1), \dots, (\pi_1^{k+\ell}, \dots, \pi_m^{k+\ell}) \text{ are pairwise non-overlapping} \end{array} \right\} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{k! \ell!} \left| \left\{ \pi \in \text{PF}_n : (\pi_1^1, \dots, \pi_m^1), \dots, (\pi_1^{k+\ell}, \dots, \pi_m^{k+\ell}) \text{ are pairwise non-overlapping} \right\} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{k! \ell!} \binom{n+1}{\underbrace{m, \dots, m}_{k+\ell \text{ } m\text{'s}}, n - (k+\ell)m + 1} (n+1)^{n - (k+\ell)m - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Our conclusion follows. □

As is standard, we denote the probability distribution and expectation with respect to the uniform measure on the set of classical parking functions of length n by \mathbb{P}_n and \mathbb{E}_n , respectively. The following theorem provides an exact result on the expected number of m -cycles in a classical parking function drawn uniformly at random.

Theorem 2.4. *Take $1 \leq m \leq n$. Let $\pi \in \text{PF}_n$ be a parking function chosen uniformly at random and $C_m(\pi)$ be the number of m -cycles of π . The expected number of m -cycles is given by*

$$\mathbb{E}_n(C_m(\pi)) = (m - 1)! \binom{n+1}{m} / (n+1)^m.$$

Remark 2.1. *From Theorem 2.4, we may readily derive that for fixed m , as n gets large, the expected number of m -cycles in a random parking function is asymptotically $1/m$. This asymptotic result was obtained earlier by Paguyo [10] using other techniques.*

Proof. For distinct $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_m \leq n$, let

$$A_{(i_1, \dots, i_m)} = |\{ \pi \in \text{PF}_n : \pi_{i_1} = i_2, \pi_{i_2} = i_3, \dots, \pi_{i_{m-1}} = i_m, \pi_{i_m} = i_1 \}|.$$

By linearity of expectation and symmetry of parking coordinates,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_n(C_m(\pi)) &= \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_m \leq n} (m - 1)! \mathbb{P}_n(A_{(i_1, \dots, i_m)}) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_m \leq n} (m - 1)! \mathbb{P}_n(\pi_1 = i_1, \dots, \pi_m = i_m) \\ &= \frac{(m - 1)!}{(n + 1)^{n-1}} |\{ \pi \in \text{PF}_n : \pi_1 < \pi_2 < \dots < \pi_m \}|, \end{aligned}$$

where the additional scalar factor $(m - 1)!$ in the first equation accounts for the number of ways of arranging an m -cycle with distinct entries i_1, \dots, i_m .

For ease of notation, let

$$A_m = \{ \pi \in \text{PF}_n : \pi_1 < \pi_2 < \dots < \pi_m \}.$$

Further let

$$A'_m = \{ \pi \in \text{PF}_n : \pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_m \text{ are pairwise distinct} \}$$

and

$$B'_m = \{ f : [n] \rightarrow [n + 1] : f(1), f(2), \dots, f(m) \text{ are pairwise distinct} \}.$$

It is clear that $|A'_m| = m! |A_m|$. To obtain a simplified expression for A_m , we use an extension of Pollak's circle argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.1. Add an additional parking spot $n + 1$, and arrange the spots in a circle. Allow $n + 1$ also as a preferred spot. We first select m spots for the first m cars, which can be done in $\binom{n+1}{m}$ ways. Then for the remaining $n - m$ cars, there are $(n + 1)^{n-m}$ possible preference sequences. Hence

$$|B'_m| = m! \binom{n+1}{m} (n+1)^{n-m}.$$

Out of the $n + 1$ rotations for any preference sequence, only one rotation becomes a valid parking function. Standard circular symmetry argument yields

$$|A'_m| = \frac{1}{n+1} |B'_m| = m! \binom{n+1}{m} (n+1)^{n-m-1},$$

and so

$$|A_m| = \binom{n+1}{m} (n+1)^{n-m-1}.$$

Our conclusion follows. □

Corollary 2.1. *Let $\pi \in \text{PF}_n$ be a parking function chosen uniformly at random. The expected number of cyclic points is given by*

$$\sum_{m=1}^n m! \binom{n+1}{m} / (n+1)^m.$$

Proof. As stated in the introduction, cyclic points are points that lie on some m -cycle of π where $m \geq 1$. We apply Theorem 2.4 and note that every m -cycle contains m cyclic points. □

3. Prime parking functions

A classical parking function $\pi = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_n)$ is said to be prime if for all $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$, at least $j + 1$ cars want to park in the first j places. (Equivalently, if we remove some term of π equal to 1, then we still have a parking function.) Denote the set of prime parking functions of length n by PPF_n . Note that for prime parking functions, it is impossible to have n fixed points or to have an n -cycle.

As with classical parking functions, we can also study prime parking functions via circular rotation. The modified circular symmetry argument was first due to Kalikow [15, pp. 141-142], who provided the following observation: Let G denote the group of all n -tuples $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in [n-1]^n$ with componentwise addition modulo $n - 1$. Let H be the subgroup generated by $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$. Then every coset of H contains exactly one prime parking function. The results we present in this section are thus largely parallel to the results for classical parking functions in our previous section.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$. The number of prime parking functions of length n with k fixed points is given by*

$$\binom{n-1}{k} (n-2)^{n-k-1}.$$

Proof. We proceed as in the proof of Theorem 2.1. The only difference is that the circular symmetry argument is now for a circle with $n - 1$ spots. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-k-1} (-1)^\ell \binom{n-1}{k, \ell, n-k-\ell-1} (n-1)^{n-k-\ell-1} &= \binom{n-1}{k} \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-k-1} (-1)^\ell \binom{n-k-1}{\ell} (n-1)^{n-k-1-\ell} \\ &= \binom{n-1}{k} (n-2)^{n-k-1}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Theorem 3.2. *The generating function for prime parking functions of length n with respect to the number of fixed points is given by $(q + n - 2)^{n-1}$.*

Proof. Utilizing Theorem 3.1, we perform generating function calculations.

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} q^k \binom{n-1}{k} (n-2)^{n-k-1} = (q + n - 2)^{n-1}. \quad \square$$

As in the case of classical parking functions, a more general theorem exists for prime parking functions when we extend the notion of fixed points to cycles.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $m \geq 1$ and $k \geq 0$ with $km \leq n - 1$. The number of prime parking functions of length n with k m -cycles is given by*

$$\sum_{\ell: (k+\ell)m \leq n-1} (-1)^\ell \frac{((m-1)!)^{k+\ell}}{k! \ell!} \binom{n-1}{\underbrace{m, \dots, m}_{k+\ell \text{ } m\text{'s}}, n-(k+\ell)m-1} (n-1)^{n-(k+\ell)m-1}.$$

Proof. We proceed as in the proof of Theorem 2.3 and note that the circular symmetry argument is now for a circle with $n - 1$ spots. \square

As is standard, we denote the probability distribution and expectation with respect to the uniform measure on the set of prime parking functions of length n by \mathbb{P}_n and \mathbb{E}_n , respectively. The following theorem provides an exact result on the expected number of m -cycles in a prime parking function drawn uniformly at random.

Theorem 3.4. *Take $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$. Let $\pi \in \text{PPF}_n$ be a prime parking function chosen uniformly at random and $C_m(\pi)$ be the number of m -cycles of π . The expected number of m -cycles is given by*

$$\mathbb{E}_n(C_m(\pi)) = (m - 1)! \binom{n - 1}{m} / (n - 1)^m.$$

Remark 3.1. *From Theorem 3.4, we may readily derive that for fixed m , as n gets large, the expected number of m -cycles in a random prime parking function is asymptotically $1/m$.*

Proof. We proceed as in the proof of Theorem 2.4. The only difference is that the circular symmetry argument is now for a circle with $n - 1$ spots. So we have

$$\mathbb{E}_n(C_m(\pi)) = \frac{(m - 1)!}{(n - 1)^{n-1}} \binom{n - 1}{m} (n - 1)^{n-m-1} = (m - 1)! \binom{n - 1}{m} / (n - 1)^m. \quad \square$$

Corollary 3.1. *Let $\pi \in \text{PPF}_n$ be a prime parking function chosen uniformly at random. The expected number of cyclic points is given by*

$$\sum_{m=1}^{n-1} m! \binom{n - 1}{m} / (n - 1)^m.$$

Proof. As in the proof of Corollary 2.1, we apply Theorem 3.4 and note that every m -cycle contains m cyclic points. \square

4. Further discussions

4.1 Extensions of our techniques

Fix some positive integers k and r . Define an (r, k) -parking function of length n [16] to be a sequence (π_1, \dots, π_n) of positive integers such that if $\lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$ is the (weakly) increasing rearrangement of π_1, \dots, π_n , then $\lambda_i \leq k + (i - 1)r$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Denote the set of (r, k) -parking functions of length n by $\text{PF}_n(r, k)$. There is a similar interpretation for such (r, k) -parking functions in terms of the classical parking function scenario: One wishes to park n cars on a street with $k + (n - 1)r$ spots, but only n spots are still empty, which are at positions no later than $k, k + r, \dots, k + (n - 1)r$.

Pollak's original circle argument [7] may be further extended to (r, k) -parking functions. Let G denote the group of all n -tuples $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in [k + nr]^n$ with componentwise addition modulo $k + nr$. Let H be the subgroup generated by $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$. Then every coset of H contains exactly k (r, k) -parking functions. See [14] for more details.

The following proposition is then immediate.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $0 \leq s \leq n$. We have*

$$|\{\pi \in \text{PF}_n(r, k) : \pi_1 < \pi_2 < \dots < \pi_s\}| = k \binom{k + nr}{s} (k + nr)^{n-s-1}.$$

Proof. We apply the generalized circle argument as described above. Arrange $k + nr$ spots in a circle. We first select s spots for the first s cars, which can be done in $\binom{k + nr}{s}$ ways. Then for the remaining $n - s$ cars, there are $(k + nr)^{n-s}$ possible preference sequences. Out of the $k + nr$ rotations for any preference sequence, exactly k rotations become valid (r, k) -parking functions. The rest is a standard circular symmetry argument as in the proof of Theorems 2.1 and 2.4. \square

4.2 Connections to other research areas

By setting $k = 0, \dots, n$ in Theorem 2.1, a plethora of findings emerge, particularly through OEIS entries.

- For $k = 0$, our sequence is included as [FindStat, St001903] with explanation and coincides with [OEIS, A081215].

- For $k = 1$, our sequence coincides with [OEIS, A081216] and is related to the dimension of the primitive middle cohomology of Dwork hypersurfaces.
- For $k = n - 2$, our sequence coincides with [OEIS, A006325] which is the 4-dimensional analog of centered polygonal numbers.
- For $k = n - 1$, our sequence coincides with [OEIS, A000217] which counts many interesting combinatorial objects, including the number of edges in a complete graph of order $n + 1$ as well as the number of legal ways to insert a pair of parentheses in a string of n letters.
- For $k = n$, our sequence is the constant sequence $\{1, 1, \dots, \}$, which is straightforward as there is only one parking function with all points fixed, namely $\pi = (1, 2, \dots, n)$.

We have not found a match on OEIS for other more general k values, but the above list already presents many intriguing research directions, which we hope to explore in future work.

Likewise, setting $k = 0, \dots, n - 1$ in Theorem 3.1 reveals mysterious coincidences that motivate further investigations.

- For $k = 0$, our sequence coincides with [OEIS, A007778] which is the number of ways of writing an n -cycle as the product of $n + 1$ transpositions.
- For $k = 1$, our sequence coincides with [OEIS, A055897] which is the total number of leaves in all labeled rooted trees with n nodes.
- For $k = 2$, our sequence coincides with [OEIS, A081132] which is the sum of all the fixed points in the set of endofunctions on $\{1, 2, \dots, n + 1\}$.
- For $k = n - 3$, our sequence coincides with [OEIS, A019582] which counts many interesting combinatorial objects, including half the number of colorings of 4 points on a line with n colors as well as the number of ways to place two dominoes horizontally in different rows on an $n \times n$ chessboard.
- For $k = n - 2$, our sequence coincides with [OEIS, A002378] which are commonly referred to as the oblong numbers.
- For $k = n - 1$, our sequence is the constant sequence $\{1, 1, \dots, \}$, which is straightforward as there is only one prime parking function with all points but one fixed, namely $\pi = (1, 2, \dots, n - 1, 1)$.

Acknowledgements

We thank Richard Stanley for the helpful discussions. Some of the results in this paper were independently conjectured by Ari Cruz. The authors are grateful for many valuable comments from the referees, which significantly improved the quality of the paper. M. Yin was supported by the University of Denver's Professional Research Opportunities for Faculty Fund 80369-145601 and Simons Foundation Grant MPS-TSM-00007227.

References

- [1] A. Adeniran and L. Pudwell, *Pattern avoidance in parking functions*, Enumer. Combin. Appl. 3:3 (2023), Article S2R17.
- [2] J. Carlson, A. Christensen, P. E. Harris, Z. Jones, and A. R. Rodríguez, *Parking functions: Choose your own adventure*, College Math. J. 52: (2021), 254–264.
- [3] L. Colmenarejo, P. E. Harris, Z. Jones, C. Keller, A. R. Rodríguez, E. Sukarto, and A. R. Vindas-Meléndez, *Counting k -Naples parking functions through permutations and the k -Naples area statistic*, Enumer. Combin. Appl. 1:2 (2021), Article S2R11.
- [4] P. Diaconis and A. Hicks, *Probabilizing parking functions*, Adv. Appl. Math. 89 (2017), 125–155.
- [5] P. Flajolet and A. Odlyzko, *Random mapping statistics*, In: Quisquater, J.J., Vandewalle, J. (eds.) Advances in Cryptology - EUROCRYPT '89, Lecture Notes in Computer Science 434, pp. 329-354. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1990.
- [6] C. Ji and J. Propp, *Brussels sprouts, noncrossing trees, and parking functions*, Enumer. Combin. Appl. 1:1 (2021), Article S2R1.
- [7] D. Foata and J. Riordan, *Mappings of acyclic and parking functions*, Aequationes Math. 10 (1974), 10–22.
- [8] Y. Kang, T. Selig, G. Yang, Y. Zhang, and H. Zhu, *On friendship and cyclic parking functions*, Enumer. Combin. Appl. 4:3 (2024), Article S2R21.

- [9] A. G. Konheim and B. Weiss, *An occupancy discipline and applications*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 14 (1966), 1266-1274.
- [10] J. E. Paguyo, *Cycle structure of random parking functions*, Adv. Appl. Math. 144 (2023), 102458.
- [11] R. Pyke, *The supremum and infimum of the Poisson process*, Ann. Math. Statist. 30 (1959), 568–576.
- [12] J. Riordan, *Ballots and trees*, J. Combin. Theory 6 (1969), 408–411.
- [13] L. A. Shepp and S. P. Lloyd, *Ordered cycle lengths in a random permutation*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 121: (1966), 340–357.
- [14] R. P. Stanley, *Parking functions and noncrossing partitions*, Electron. J. Combin. 4 (1997), Research Paper 20.
- [15] R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics*, Volume 2. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [16] R. P. Stanley and Y. Wang, *Some aspects of (r, k) -parking functions*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 159 (2018), 54–78.
- [17] C. H. Yan, *Parking functions*, In: Bóna, M. (ed.) Handbook of Enumerative Combinatorics, Discrete Math. Appl., pp. 835-893, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2015.
- [18] J. Yan, *Results on pattern avoidance in parking functions*, Enumer. Combin. Appl. 5:1 (2025), Article S2R2.
- [FindStat] Database and Search Engine for Combinatorial Statistics and Maps, Published electronically at <https://www.findstat.org>.
- [OEIS] OEIS Foundation Inc. (2024), The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, Published electronically at <https://oeis.org>.